ADAPTATION OF THE ACCMENTED SPACE METHOD FOR THE CONTRIBUTE POTENTIAL AND CLUSTER COHERENT POTENTIAL APPROXIMATIONS FOR MAGNETIC ALLOYS

ATUN DUMAN MISH

PLIY

M I S DEPARTMENT OF PRINCIPAL KANE

K () 5. APRIL 1888

ADAPTATION OF THE ADAMENTED SPACE METINGS FOR THE CONEDENT POTENTIAL AND CLUSTER CONERENT POTENTIAL APPROXIMATIONS FOR MAGNETIC ALLOYS

A Prior Submind in Partid Sufficience of the Supplements for the Supple of BOCTOR OF PREZISOPHY

ATUN KURME MEMPA

on the DEPARTMENT OF PRIVINGS INCLINE INSTITUTE TO CHICAGO APICL 1888 13 JUL 1880 537 - 21

PHY-1089-D-MILE-MDA

26

AU DANKARA



This is to curtafy that too work of this thesis

COLITION ADAPTATION OF THE ASSESSED SPACE MESSOD FOR THE COMMENTS POSSESSED AND CLUSTER COMMENTS POSSESSED APPROXIMATIONS FOR MARKETIC ALLOYS has been carried one by ARMA NUMBER MINERA under not supervision. So port of this work

was been submitted elsewhere for a degree

ellhiil ellesheja reojere reissefres reojerree

Experiment of Provider Indian Institute of Technology Easper 200010, DRD2s

Agril. 1999

ACTIVIOUS STANSMEN

I granufully other-dege the shin guidance and ecosions belo of Professor abbujut Mechanics throughout my Ph. D programme. It is alseed proposable to list out what all I got from him In abset, working with him has been a pleasant and memorahis superjence of my life.

NY sincery thanks are due to Fred N Temeorff. Fred A R Majumder. Br V.A Singh and Dr E.Prassé for their expert comments and when suggestion from time to time

I me also thankful to my audior collision for P.E.Thakur for his high for competitional work. I also gainst from various diseasations with him as also from my fraind its S.A.Raman Randon, the lister, high of saveral other friends at J.I.T.Donyor. Hr S.S.Buryah. No Hislah Sharair, my.delt Sirvan, the Sente Sardhon to mame a few, in gratefully schoredomod.

I'm grateful to the University Greats Commission, See Jolhi and D.S-College, Easther (Robbr) for Financial resport in the form of a teacher followship water the

undergraduate nevelopment sphere

. Leatly, I must also these up family newbers who stood by We and reducinged we to complete this MOTE

Marin Tanan Michie

CONTENTS

CN

		TAR
	STANDERS.	(455
	LYST OF TAPLET	(11)
	LIST OF PRODUCE	Ces
PTERS		
3	1NTRODUCTION	- 1
£	THE AUDIENTED SPACE METHOD	51
	2 1 Introduction	11
	7 2 Mathematical formulation of ASF	
	end its application to model	
	systems	11
	2 2 Hemiltonian in A S.F. fer both	
	diagonal and off diagonal disorder	21
	2 4 CPA through the Augmeted Spece	
	formal Lan	22
	2 5 Cluster Coherent Fotential	
	approximation (CCPA) is the Augmented	
	Spece by Graphical potheda	21
	0.51 The Grankien mathed	21

Augusted space

DYAN	FEGN
0.1	the Recursion Method
3.2	Theory Of Continued Frestice
	Terminatora
3 23	Terninator Schemes
3.3	Application to d states
2 31	The d band density of states of Mi
£1.EC	FROM COURTLATION IN NAMEON EMEROS
1,40	9
4.1	Introduction
4.2	Mathematical Formulation
4 3	The Hartree Fook approximation
4.4	CPA and Cluster CFR adapted to
	Pergosagnatio alloys
	Application to Hi_Fo, systems
4.5	

This thesis emittled (MARYSTON OF THE ACCOUNTS OF THE ACCOUNTS CONSIST OF THE CONSIST FORTHER ALCOUNTS CONSIST NOTIFICAL AND APPROXIMATIONS FOR MARKET ALCOUNT (MARSISTED FOR ACCOUNTS AND ACCOUNTS AND

The propings of electronic states of electronic transpares is discontinuous electric set followed by the proping of the propings of the electronic set of el

nove sites are ignored. Thus it is known we sawgir tyte communit potential approximation (ICPA)

expressor the underlying picturist was taken as been. In this chapter the suppress fig generalized to biciosis cause where the potantial Yorkir has been presented and interest to be generated affirmation for the properties of the interest to the interest potantial. Suppression of the interest potantial interest to the interest to th

In all earlier amplications of the summented Space

Recention evolute overvelose for Privation (1977) auxiliare or to a recent of the recent control of the Privation of the Recent control of the Recent cont

To display IV, as application of the share formation is some formation in the share constitution and the same control of the s

Fornits of the Orese fraction like residual community or surfeal conductor of it. Interval local requirity at 14 kins . Secondard, spece from the chartly of attents activations. The ONA and Cluster of Neuraltz are calculations. The ACM and Cluster of Neuraltz are consistent and compared in the secondard and compared. In the constraints regime, i. the secondard importsy regime, the ONA facil to express operations to secondard seculiar applications. The cluster generalization gives correction to when the public discussions.

the present work is discussed. Some of the problems in the field in size auggested

Representation of a reornalized wartious Seprementation of a single link chain CFA graph in Augmented space Shortoot self avoiding, closed loop in

the full Asymmeted spece involving both gratial and field hope

cotseen in ASP due to infinite undism Tinal renormalized site and head in 2079

	water (a) 5 and (b) 20 levels, respectively	
	of the continued fraction expansion	69
4.1	Component densities of states in CFA for	
	e) 10% b; 15% c) 26% d) 25% e) 10% of Fe	
	constration in the Ni gich Ni Fe, #2109	55
4.2	Component densities of states in GCFM for	
	a) 10% b) 16% o) 20% o) 20%o)35% of Fa	
	concentration in the Wo rich No. Fe, aller	88
42	Partial densities of states of Rt to CPA	
	for a) 10% b) 15% c) 20% d) 25% e) 35% of	
	Fa seconstruction in the alloy	93
4.4	Partial describing of abobes of Fe in CPA	
	for a) 10% b) 15% c) 20% d) 25% a) 30% of	
	Fe concentration in the alloy	95
4.5	Parkial descrition of states of Hi in COPA	
	for al 10% b) 16% a) 20% d) 25% a) 32% of	
	Fe concentration in the alloy	90
4.6	Partial densities of otatos of Fo in COPA	
	for a) 10% b) 15%s) 20%d) 25%e) 20% of Te	
	concentrations the alley	160
4.7	The magazic moment of Si and Fm for CPA	
	together with the experimental data by	
	neutron diffraction (opens circles by	
	Shull and Wilkinson 1988, triangles by	
	Collins and Low 1963, and noise circles by	

The magatic moment of Ni and Fo for CDFW Societies with the corresponded data to societie diffraction (spee clueles by Bewill and Wilkinson 1806) traingles by COllins and Low 1903 and solid circles by High: end 1974)

INTRODUCTE

The problem of alternation states in consequent with the consequence of the control of which cross supported by the control of which cross supported by the control of the

The validity of the single atts CFA theory has been excepted in a large class of systems after the secondary symbols and for the secondary symbols and familiations actiable for discodered sensition attracts the symbols of the symbo

(1971) did the sems for Co risk Da_{re} Mi_a allows for studying the dicestrate density of states. That results were in good agreement with the mercymontal data reported by Besh and Spicer (1973) and Mafface at all (1973).

Single sits CFA theory has, however, the following frawbacks $\ensuremath{^{\circ}}$

1) It takes into account only single site scettering and correlated mentioning free clusters in est accounted for

(i) the effect of potential flustantions is coppressed while doing such as average

is) the off diagonal disorder in the tight-binding Familtonians surned to properly accounted for

spyrotaxion as also incorporate of trialipantal discorporate properties of the second control (SPI) Gold to make such a generalization many a school based on the corrected emulation and expectation for the second control of the second control of the second control of the second control of the second control (SPI) and control of many allows for the second control (SPI) and control of the SPI (SPI) control (SPI) and control

both were attempts to improve the OFA by going beyond the single are expressioning strongle making application to own-disconsignal thick binding models. This personalization, 20460 Arrers desirate problems, much as maltivalual, discontinuous and impative demanty of sketop in curtain

Hardson at AC (1872), Horberton (1872 a.b.)
Bullow-Hartan (1873) and Hills and Entenamentation (1875)
pointed out that for our real principal function, the Breach
function must ober certain methoration properties called the
Hardson properties. A complex facultion f(s) was defined as
Harizont (f)

ii) Singularities of f(n) lie on the real exis.
(11) f(n) to I/E on Ensure (where n = E + 10⁴)

In order to get physical receive Registrative Taw is to restrict for any expressional to the Green Function. The basis fault with a majority of the netonation of the CPA proposed in late 60°s and sizy 70°s was then there led to proposed into the contaction which were not Registration. To have to become in smill that go the development of a theory which mislands maintering from electron, about ranging of cooks.

off-diagonal disorder on two effects of positional distorder,

Some other attentia at zenemiatations led to the behavior Coherent Fournial approximation (ECDA) proceed by Tainbain (1809) and Butter (1973), Divelded Cluster matter (EER) discussed by Inglestical (1801) and Bareff et al. (1886) etc. Travelling Cluster approximation proceed by 3811s (1875).

Robberjee (1879a. b. 3375 a.b.c.) alsocobored formulation office be appeared logical formation (AET) to calculate configuration wereage of a greacel forestion of more random variables. By was able to incomprase excitering reflects from structurality compiled outset in finite wise clusters and also off-diagnosi discrete in a self-ormalised seware.

The observable to hoperate Space whether the control of the contro

photon bath. There are complies where both spatial and twocorial discreters are involved. For exemple, dirty sillows (Mexcz. großens, of high twocories). A particular realization of Disse parencies, cither in a given sample or KK an leadant of time in what we refer to so the configuration of the system.

To understand sky we must take resurt to configuration everaging, we take an example, any of a organiline, substitutionally rendom binary eller, in which the regular lettice cites are rendeely corepled by A or B type atoms. Our William a good example. At To 0, the readenness in quenched end spetial, end may be described by a set of random convention veriebles m. which takes values 0 and 1 only and thereby determines, whether a site labelled by 1 is contribed by a A or a S type of about A configuration is determined by a particular amalgment of 0's and 1's. to the requires (n,) Any one sample therefore corresponds to a particular configuration. There can be in all 2 different configurations for a sample containing M atoms. This is a very large number for macroscopic erutems experimentalist telms shoul the characteristics of the nameles he measures, he wests everage spends second him narries, he has me interest in the variations between the large number of continuouslines. If the fluctuations of a description from manufa to seculate a feen configuration to configuration) is negligible compared to the mean; then it is the configuration excreme which should be compared with

It is since from some the undependent constraints of the required likes in its in plantical beautiful protection and the contract of the contr

Ontiferentian averaging is a well-procedure only when the popularity of extractions of the property offer extractional in sufficiently well believed to that the extraction is sufficiently well believed to that the extractional extraction is sufficiently well believed to the extractional extraction in the extraction for the extraction for the extraction in the extraction for the extraction of dissortered elicities are also compared to the extraction of the ex

increases. It is therefore, not comingful to talk in terms of the average recotence of a very long disordered shate.

Now constitute short agentual empodicity. For a very longe system, in the limit of infinite size, all possible covircements may be noticed over in a single seemic. The Fig. 1.3 will illustrate this size.

A finda prob on sungle all positive surframents to the complex entands with appropriate probabilities. A gibble property is thus sunsatisfully configurationally configurationally to the property in the sunsatisfully configurationally configurationally appeared to the configuration and the configuration and the configuration and the configuration are not as a statistical manifestate acting place speed. It was not be sufficient to the configuration and the con

1s 4 2 2 in Chapter II, we will discuss in detail but to configuration average any obtained question in a simple signt hundring model for a schatterinously discretered bloary alloy. Response functions, like optical confecutivity, readingly relativity out on the configurationally averaged

Till new, the Augmented Space method has been used for parametrized Hamiltoniess alone. For this it has come under oriticism. The main aim of the present work in to



extend Augmented Space Formilion to cases where the petential itself has to be found self consistently. One such example in a system with a potential given by

$$V(\hat{x}) + V_{\hat{y}}(\hat{x}) + a \cdot (a(\hat{x}) \cdot \hat{x}^{a/2})$$
 (1.1)

where the second term is the exchange ourselation potential with

$$\rho(\tilde{x}) = -\frac{1}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{R_p} \ln \zeta \, d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}, x) \chi_{q_p} \, dx$$
 (1.2)

Thus, $\rho(\tilde{\tau})$ can be evaluated colf-consistently by the use of equations (1.2) and (1.5).

We shall, however, chases a simpler case, which is an exactle alone of considerable interest. We shall study an execute alone within the framework of the finite-er-Fesk approximation. The spin descended Resilients is ideal appeared to the anoles of $u(\vec{p})$ or from (\vec{p}) accretions will become the total effectives of the finite definition of the spin descendent (\vec{p}) .

In Charter II the Augmented Space Formalian is saturabled with its mathematical formulations and its as

Daptw III deals with the Retrieve whole It also components the different teasures anhease and applicate to different teasures anhease and applicate to different to different the second to the different to different to the different to different to the different to the different to the consentation of the different to the consentation of the different to the dif

....

THE ASSESSMENT SPACE WITHOUT

\$ 1 INTRODUCTION

An instrume moving to a disperience state construction ratio specialists the lattice parkins the Institution than an every large somer of configurations. The disorder is characterised by a set of Bestitesian Statements, which are readen worklines and, does not probability distribution. The description of such preferring the statements of the preferring the statements of the preferring the statements of the preferring paper of the system may for lantons, be described though configuration availance of the system may for lantons, be described though configuration availance of the system does not consider the system described the system of th

The Augmented Space foundlies (ASP) in a technique of configuration reventing where configuration fluctuations are systematically taken into account The formalism is fermilly seems but, far practical calculations, appointmention preserving constitution proportionation preserving or formalism of privated origin may be greated. The AST was firms introduced by Bookeaper

GUPTA-1072D) and leter on Sopha and Gory (1876_2172) persided o entitle of the law has measured; skilled for variants quantitie like one privile. Green floations and revenue fractions related to the certific Green foretimes, perticularly when we have to get beyond the study atta approximations (is loyed the GND and have to called a that approximations (is loyed the GND and have to

Previously, the formulations outlitting the Afficenceshrated on modal or pursectivals (melitosiass face demands for melitosiass and consistently derived from the pertials density. The sime of this work is to develop such a formalism and apply it to magnify the melitosiass and poly in the measure of the measurement o

S S MATHEMATICAL POSSESSATEDS OF ANY AND ITS APPLICATION TO MODEL STRIPES

In his various communications Hockerjee (1973 a.b. 1978 m.b.e) has warmed out the detailed methomatics of the AGE Ente we discuss the main points of the formalism. Our regulation will be in a widel handles have

In a substitutional system the inttice nites are

consider conjugate by the atoms of the type may $A_{\rm e}E_{\rm e}C$ are in the Singer of the intercourse $r_{\rm e}$ in a remove wearished to $E_{\rm e}C$ and $E_{\rm e}C$ are considered was stablen the line in exceptance in the exceptance in $E_{\rm e}C$ and $E_{\rm e}C$ are superative. The Raditzanta nonview a near-to-summarize straight $E_{\rm e}C$ and $E_{\rm e}C$ are in complete for the constraint of the table $E_{\rm e}C$ and $E_{\rm e}C$ are in the line of the exceptance of the constraint of the table $E_{\rm e}C$ and $E_{\rm e}C$ are in the line of the exceptance of the constraint of the exceptance of the exceptance

veribles. This easumption ignores short range order due to chosical clustering rifecto. Keplen and Gray (1981) have considered the more assured case of such depositate veribles within a Harksvien short ranged order medal.

Baycon (1973) regressed that the publishing density $\mu_1(s)$ satisfies $\mu_1(s)$ to not $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} (s,t) ds$. Thus the probability density $\mu_1(s)$ shares with the density of states, the property of positive definiteness as integrability Physically too, both give the number (or fraction) of shales in a range of around the course value c.

Hosbiejnes (1973) introduced a hypothetical apox ϕ decreased into a model varietie of notable to a model of $\Pi(\phi)$ and an occurring $\Pi(\phi)$ and an occurring $\Pi(\phi)$ and a magnetic state of the product of $\Pi(\phi)$ and a solution of $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ are always and $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ are always and $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ are always are always as a sum of $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ are always are always as a sum of $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ are always are always as a sum of $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ are always are always are always as a sum of $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ are always are always are always are always as a sum of $\Pi(\phi)$ and $\Pi(\phi)$ are always are alway

$$F\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right) = -(1.00)2\mathbf{x} \left\langle \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{e}}^{i} \left\| \left[-(\mathbf{e}_{i} + \mathbf{i}_{i})\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{e}_{i}^{(i)} \right]^{-1} \right| \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{e}}^{i} \right\rangle$$
 (2.2)

Now the constitutes $\{D_i, n^i\}$ and p(i), are ensurable analysis to express the Sattlew the Sattlew Books and the Sattlew Books and the Sattlew Books and the Sattlew Books and the Sattlew Books are sufficiently represented in the Sattlew Sattleway to Provide Sattleway to Provide Sattleway to Sattleway to Provide Sattleway to Sattleway to

The problem can be heatled in a way analogous to the existined fraction expansion of Green functions in the Decuzzien method (Bardock, 1978). On has to tay to write the probability density $y(\mathbf{x}_j)$ in the form of z convergence

Representation of three mathematical forms positive, definite, lategrable density function finite moment.



sostiments fraction (i a one has to follow the paths above): figure 2 1 by full lance) or the form as above below -

$$p(e^{+}|x|) = 4 + \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{(1/e^{+})}{e^{+}|x|} \frac{b_{1}^{2}}{-\frac{1}{2}(1-e_{1})}$$
(3.9)

such as expansion to convergent only if all the moments of

Noting written by probability density in the slove for the presenting of the queries θ^{μ} in one with (p,q) on the written on a traditionard matrix with q_{1},q_{2},q_{3} on the written on a traditionard matrix with q_{1},q_{2},q_{3} of states that the state that discount one of the present of disposal positions. It obtains be matted that for enverage distributions within the discount of the disposal positions are also as the disposal position of the

Let us shows our enten to be a resolutable $A_n E_{n-n}$ being only the disguest discrete and newsest modification overlap only. In the tight binding Anderson Seat-Scenies.

$$H = \Sigma + F_1 + \Sigma \times V T_1$$

...

Here the diagonal terms e_i form a set of random variables. In terms of the random occupacion variables

The off diagonal term V , however, is not readon. $P_{i,i}(|D \in L) \quad \text{in the Production operator and } T_{i,i}(|D \in L) \quad \text{in the treading operator in the same K operand by the treading operand of K and K operand K.}$

Neglecting ebort range ordering, the probability density for the (n,) is given by

tight-binding besis ([130

* *(1.4) In
$$\left\{ \frac{0}{B_1^{-2}(B-1)} + \frac{(1-0)}{B_1^{-2}(B-1)} \right\}$$
 (where $n \to 0^+$)

$$= -(1/4)$$
 In $\frac{1}{R_1 - \alpha - \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{\alpha(-1)(-\alpha)}}$

$$a = (1/n) \cdot \ln \frac{1}{S_1 - a_1 \cdot S_2^2 \cdot S_1 - a_2}$$
(2.8)

where $a_i = c_i$ $a_j = (1 \cdot c)$ and $b_{ij}^2 = c(1 \cdot c)$

. Thus $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}$ has 2 \times 2 tridiagonal matrix supressuration given by

is a basis $\{|F_{ij}^{\prime}(\lambda)|F_{jj}^{\prime}(\lambda)|$ spanning the 'configuration edges' θ_{ij} of θ_{ij}

Let us consider the grabability distribution for a single variable r_i and the everage of a function $F(\pi_i)$

$$a = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(\theta_i) \ (1/\pi) \ \ln \ g_{ab}^{-M} \ (\theta_i) \ d\theta_i \eqno(2.5)$$

where, $R_i = \pi_i \circ p^{-1}$, $|\varphi(0)\rangle = -11.003$ fm $CC_0[\phi^{ij}(\theta_i^i)]\Gamma_0 > 0$ and $|\phi^{ij}(\theta_i^i)\rangle \stackrel{?}{=} (|\xi(0)|^{2i})^{2i}$

Let us committee F(x) as a function of a complex verseble t beving no zingularities on the real sain in the neighbourhood of the branch out of the function $\mathcal{J}_{n,n}^{-}(y)$. Then

 $\hat{Y} = -(1/2\pi t) \oint Y(t) g_{p,q}^{M}(t) dt \hat{t}$ where the contour is taken such that it goes round the branch out of $g_{p,q}^{M}(t)$.

$$1 + (1/2n_1) \oint V(x) \ dx \ dt_{\varphi} \Big| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x \cdot h)^{-1} \ d\varphi(h) \Big| \ d\varphi > \qquad (2.9).$$

where $\phi^{M}\left(x\right) \times \int\limits_{-\pi}^{0} \frac{dp(h)}{x \cdot h}$, and p(h) is spectral projection

Then,
$$T = C_0^1 \int_0^1 T(t) ds(t) |T_0^2\rangle$$

$$= C_0^1 |T(t)^{1/2}\rangle |T_0^2\rangle \qquad (8.10)$$

where the operator $F(R^0)$ is the same functional of H^0 as F is of π . Then we see that, in general, the configuration average can be written se a particular element of the representation of an operator constraint from the operator variable to the probability distribution.

If we wish to generalize the theorem to functions of several independent reside variables we introduce the

configuration approx θ of θ^{-}_{ij} and 0 $((\theta^{(i)}))$ or $\theta^{(i)}$ (θ_{i}) . Then the everage of the Junyales F ((n,j)) is given by

The confinemation space $\theta=0^{-\theta}$ θ_1 is specised for $\{(\sum_i e_i^{-1}(\sum_j e_i^{$

 EARLISTMAN IN ANY FOR DOTH DIAGONAL AND OFF-STANDAY. DIRECTOR

We will start with the tight binding

Where I and I are the sale andones and me can hand auditors

$$V_{(a_1;a_2)} = V_{con}^{AA} \cdot v_1 v_1 + V_{con}^{AB} \cdot (1 \cdot v_1) \cdot (1 \cdot v_2)$$

$$+ V_{con}^{AB} \cdot (1 \cdot v_1) \cdot v_1 \cdot (1 \cdot v_1) \cdot (2 \cdot 150)$$
(2.15b)

Here, so, and Tomos represent respectively

disgonal and off-diagonal disorder arising from site essents and horoton intograls for the constituents A and S

$$p(x_{i_1}) = \phi(x_{i_1} - 1) + (1 - \epsilon) \phi(x_{i_1}) \qquad (2.12)$$

where
$$t_i = 0,1$$
 .
$$H = H_0 = \sum_i \sum_i \sigma_{ii} \cdot \tau_i P_{iii} + \sum_i \sum_j V_{iij}^{(ij)} \cdot \tau_i \tau_j \cdot T_{iiijii}$$

$$+ \sum_{i} \sum_{j} V_{min}^{(i,k)} \left(a_{ij} \cdot a_{jj} \right) T_{min,jm}$$
(2)

where,
$$e_n v(e_n \cdot e_n)$$
 , $V_{nn}^{(n)} = V_{nn}^{(n)} + V_{nn}^{(n)} = 0$ $V_{nn}^{(n)}$ and $V_{nn}^{(n)} = V_{nn}^{(n)} = 0$

The M for each value of n han a representation

to the basis | 1 | | | | | penning # ..

The Augmented space Theorem them, yields the Nemiltonian in the Augmented space as

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{q}} \bullet \mathbf{I} + \sum_{i,j,n} \mathbf{e}_{i,j} \mathbf{e}_{i,j} + \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \bullet \mathbf{I}^{(n)}$$

$$+ \sum_{i,j,n} \sum_{i',j,n} \mathbf{f}^{(n)}_{i,j,n} + \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \bullet \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \bullet \mathbf{I}^{(n)}$$

$$- \sum_{i',j,n} \mathbf{f}^{(n)}_{i,n} \widetilde{\mathbf{f}}^{(n)}_{i,j,n} \bullet \mathbf{g}^{(n)} \bullet \mathbf{f}^{(n)} + \mathbf{f}^{(n)} \bullet \mathbf{f}^{(n)}$$
(2.16)

 $1^{th}, 2^{t,p}$ in the above equation indicate identity operators in all subspaces σ_k except those superscripted.

2 4 GPA THROUGH THE ADDRESTED SPACE FORMALISH

Let or recapitulate the beats ideas behind simple site OF approximation. We shall discuss the effective medium approach to during the OFA equation. The fight binding

where ϵ_i below the veloces e_a or e_a for binary elloy. e_a and e_a are nite consider corresponding to A and B stone

_

 V_i^t = exystel potential corresponding to the i^{th} site.

We now suppose that the Healthcolm (2 17s) has main discord devoted in only of readow the vertical Millesope in a county and the readow the vertical Millesope in a county site affective modulate theory is that if are of the affective potentials (30) (observed, particular are replaced by an exect potentials (4) there would be no executated to the county of the county of the county to expire that overage of the single aim southering tracking

$$\tau_{i}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{(-\mathbf{z}_{i} - \mathbf{\Sigma}_{i}(\mathbf{z}))}{(-1.5c_{s} \cdot \mathbf{\Sigma}_{i}(\mathbf{z}))(0.0015)}$$

where $\Sigma(x) \ \ \text{is the Geberrori Potential it is terminationally}$

ommetric, but a complex energy dependent function.

(0(a)) is the configuration avaraged diagonal absence of

(0)(4)) is the configuration avaraged diagonal element of the Green function for the alloy, which, by definition in the Green function for the Ochanes potential

$$dS(a) > = 0_0 Ca^*E(a)$$
 (2.19)

Equation (2.18) together with Equation (2.18) constitute the most consistent equations in the enigle site CTA which has the following form:

$$\frac{a(e_a \cdot E(s))}{1 \cdot (e_a \cdot E(s)) \cdot (O(s))} + \frac{(1 \cdot e_b \cdot E(s))}{1 \cdot (e_a \cdot E(s)) \cdot (O(s))} =$$

(8.90)

The above equation one he written in a nare convenient form as follows:

$$a_1 = \overline{c} + \frac{a(1-c) \cdot 6b(a) \cdot (\sigma_a - \sigma_a)^2}{a(2-2)}$$
 (2.21)

where Z = a x_+(1-a) x_ , S =(e_-e_a)

We will now degree the same remain was the assumested Space formalism, in order to demonstrate the

equivalence of the two approaches

We take a one atom cluster, i.e. $0^{\,\rm th}$ after an our 'obtains'

By the Augmented Space theorem , we have

Here $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$ in the expanded (Augmented space) Hamiltonian (2.16)

The configuration space for a single site is of runk 1, hering if > and if > as the basis The response

of M" is given by (2.15)

$$|g_{\alpha^{k}}^{a}| + |L_{\alpha\beta}^{a}\rangle \cdot G_{\alpha\alpha}^{a}| + (2 \cdot \theta) |L_{\alpha\beta}^{a}\rangle \cdot G_{\alpha\alpha}^{a}|$$

+ A section of
$$C(K_{\rm cp}^0>CK_{\rm cp}^0)$$
 + $(K_{\rm cp}^0>CK_{\rm cp}^0)$)

We now partition the expended Hemiltonian N into the space I permand by $|Og_{ij}\rangle$ and $|Og_{ij}\rangle$ and $|Og_{ij}\rangle$ are green II by this rest of the medium which we will replace by the effective wedges. We will send to evaluate the elements of $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$ in the same I only.

We here,

$$H_{\alpha} \in \Sigma \times_{\alpha} F_{\alpha} \to \Sigma \times_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} \times_{\alpha}$$

$$\tau \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{a}} + \operatorname{der} (\Sigma \times_{[i]} \mathbb{P}[i] + \Sigma \times_{[i]} \mathbb{P}[i]$$

where, de - $e_{\rm A}$ - $e_{\rm B}$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}} = \mathbf{H}_{\underline{\mathbf{e}}} + (-\overline{\mathbf{e}}_{\underline{\mathbf{e}}} + \tilde{x}^{-}) \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\underline{\mathbf{e}}} \tag{2.24}$$

where, $\tilde{\mathbf{e}} = (1 \text{--}0) \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1} + c_{\mathbf{e}}^{-1}$

. We shall first avaluate the notatic elements of \widetilde{H} in the space Γ

 $\otimes, t_0 \models \tilde{\theta} \downarrow 0, t_0 > + s_0 + ds \stackrel{\mathbf{p}}{\leftarrow} \otimes, t_0 \models \{10 \otimes [4 \times 6]\} \downarrow 0, t_0 >$

 $\underset{i,j}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{F}_{q_{ij}} < 0, f_{q_{ij}} | \{ | (> 0) \in \mathbb{I} \} | 0, f_{q_{ij}} \rangle$

 $= e^{\mathbf{a}} + \phi e \cdot \mathbf{E} \cdot \phi^{(\mathbf{a})} \cdot \mathsf{C} t \, |\, \mathbf{d}_{g_{\mathrm{c}}} |\, \mathbf{D}$

 $+\sum_{i=1}^n T_{i,j} \delta_{i,j} \delta_{j,j} \operatorname{cf}(D) \quad ; \ \delta_{j,j} \ \delta_{j,j} = 0 \ , \ \operatorname{for} \ 2^{j,j}$

* * * 64 0 * 7 . Mare 2 * 64 *(1-0)*,

. (a.t.||h|a.t.) = ₹ . (a.t.||h|a.t.) = ₹

+ E all orall[loots a] toats

$$= \left(\mathbf{z} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{x} - (\bar{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) \otimes \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{p$$

* ((st-H_a) = (\$\delta\$ -\$\varepsilon_a\$)P_a1 *1

Similarly, one can show (Of [M[Of > = W Now, (42-40) "1 + [45-46 + (+5,+27) 1] "1

 $= de \ cf_{\underline{a}} \ |\ H^{(a)}(f_{\underline{a}}) = de \ \sqrt{-c(1-c)} \ = \ H \qquad (2.25c)$

 $= 4 \epsilon \stackrel{\circ}{\to} \delta_{i,0} \cdot (\delta_{i} | H^{(i)} | \delta_{i,0} + \stackrel{\circ}{\to} \delta_{i} \cdot \delta_{i,0} \delta_{i,0} (\delta_{i}) \delta_{i,0}$

(2.254)

 $0.t_{\alpha}[H]0.t> = e_{\alpha}(\theta_{\alpha}|E>+6\varepsilon \times (0.t_{\alpha}|\{|\Delta G|\Phi|H^{0}\}|0.t_{\alpha})$ + E 7, 40,4,1 {1040|+ 1}101,2

There are been

The above equation (2.27) is identical to the equation (2.21) when we substitute the value of \tilde{e} . Then we have been able to above that OTA results can be desired via the AGT.

2.6 OLUSTER COMMUNIC FORMATION APPROXIMATION (OUPA) IN THE ADMINISTRA STACE BY GRAPHICAL METRODS

No start from the configuration averaged Green

$$cq_{(n)pp}(x)>x \in \nu_i = I_p((x\hat{I} \cdot \hat{0})^{-2}(r_j = I_p)$$
 (2.26)

where $|f_n\rangle = T + |f_n'\rangle$

At this stage we shall digress to discuss a graphical method for the valculation of Green functions and related passivers. The method was discussed in this connection first by Eurock (1972). It is an extractive

alternative to the algebraic multiple continuing matheds in that it clearly, visually illustrates some of the combessome electrons companions.

2 52 THE GRAPHICAL METROD

The anomalies was a set of services consisted by the form that the first hand to be consisted the service section to the section to

ECC) + L/N

and that of the link λ_{ij} as

A path of length a is a sequence of vertices constrated by a links

Contribution of a path of length n as gaven by

The Green Fwhether $G_j(u) = \sum\limits_{i=0}^m -\sum\limits_{P_i \in F_n} S_{i,i}$ where f_i is the set of all paths respecting the vertices V_i and V_i .

It is well known that the summeration or even statistical astimation of all paths between two workions on a general lattice is not a workshife problem. We therefore go through a renormalization procedure.

Let us introduce the definition of a non-boundaries p_{ij} be p_{ij} by p_{ij} by

We now gether together all yeths starting from $Y_{\alpha},$ going through T_{α} to T_{α} wither directly or via other reguler.

This recoveralises the vertices \overline{v}_{g} (see figure (2.2)). If we do this for every vertex, we obtain at the cod only assumersecting peths but the contribution $K(\overline{v}_{g})$ is

$$X^*(V_n) = 0_{-n}^{(0.6, \dots, -n)}(n)$$
 (Noydosh 1972)Meekerjee 1979)

the Aupersoripts denote that the Green function is calculated on a graph in Whish the experencipted vertices are absent and

$$\hat{q}_{ij}(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{\sum_{j} K^{ij} P_{ij}}{p_{ij} q_{ij}}$$
(3.70)

where ℓ_s^* are the set of non-intersecting path of length is from V_s to V_s on the lession. The expression is identical to furtherny renormalized perturbation espainters.

On the Augmented Space each vertex is specified by two indices one referring to the eite on the lettice and the other the configuration.

Configurations may be conveniently labelled as follows:

$$\{k^0>\times U_{\mathfrak{g}}, \{k^0_{cc}>$$

$$|t^{b} \rightarrow f^{a+1}_{a}|t^{a}_{a} > f^{a+1}_{a}|\xi^{a}_{a} >$$

2 52 THE CPA DI THE GRAPHICAL PRINCE

The CPC retains executivesons of all paths. Determine the contract bords having the same configuration and mifferent sakes or this same aits and configuration that differ role at that sate of $4 \cdot (1 + f_{\rm p})$ and $(1 + f_{\rm p})$.

The Augmented space (T:H+1) (Memiltonian in the site-configuration representation $|aD\rangle$ is given by

$$\widetilde{H}_{sd,sd} \sim H^{\prime}_{sp} \, \phi_{sm} + \tau_{sm} \, \phi_{gr} \tag{2.32} \label{eq:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:equation:eq$$

Notes \hat{H}_{ij} is no operator in the modifications when associated with the expectability distribution and \hat{T}_{ij} is a spectra to \hat{H} . The stocks for the configuration \hat{H}_{ij} is a spectral of the ten \hat{H}_{ij} \hat{H}_{ij} around \hat{H}_{ij} is the stock of \hat{H}_{ij} \hat{H}_{ij} is such that the expressionation of \hat{H}^{ij} is to interpret \hat{H}^{ij} is to represent a constitute fraction. For a March density to \hat{H}^{ij} , for each \hat{h}_{ij} alone by equation (1.15) we surprise to \hat{H}^{ij} , for each \hat{h}_{ij} alone by equation (1.21) on outling rather than \hat{H}^{ij} , the results in \hat{H}^{ij} in the configuration were produced from Frontian in the preserved

The configurationally everaged Green function $\mathcal{O}_{\rm con}(z)$ can be determined by considering nell evolution paths between $[\alpha_1^*]_2$ and $[\alpha_2^*]_2$ in the complete Asymmetric space $\Psi: \mathbb{C}_2$





F, on clotter in the political by a self-configuration state $\| f_{ij}^{(k)} \|^2 + \| f_{ij}^$

$$G_{0,m}(x) > Cx |f_{0}| (xE - \hat{H})^{-1}(x|\xi_{0})$$
 (2.33)

with a much explified set of signs functions.

How starting from the vertex (Of) the first steps

in the self-evoiding paths possible are the sear seighbours b_i in the real space shows by the single lines in figure (2.3b) or to different configuration states of the site 0 (Ter manufor the erm lobelled by δb_{ij}^{ij}) shows by double lines in the same fidure

If $B_{\mu}(a)$ is the contribution of all saif avoiding paths from variex 0 and back in the Hilbert opton H, then the greelvent in an artered extent in

In the birth of measured lass $B_{ij}(z)$ will include the contribution $[D_{ij}^{ij}]_{ij}^{(im)}$ (there are the various metaborary of 0 and $D_{ij}^{(im)}$ is the resolvent exhausted from a sub graph in which the vertex 0 is recoved), as well as the substitutions of closed soft mediated proper for N in the state of the contribution of closed soft mediated proper for N in exhausted space, but configuration wrenged Green function in

R(s) he the contribution of non intersecting paths from the vortact Cras best that are address (a) entirely in the spatial year of the Augmented spece which in some come as these that emattelpots to R(s), or (b) and a evoluting paths in the Augmented queues which kinclines feld-loops but do not form closed loops. T(s) is the accordants on the closed reatrementally come from 0 in the Tull Augmented series.

Figure (2.3e) sheen a self avoiding closed loop levelying toth apprint and finish hope. There are ansarcous levely like this and it is intoo impossible to account for all of them So as an approximation we assume that all closed to the source of the second s poths taving both system) and field hope be delivated to 7(x)-0 Once we have done that, our approximate graph will have the following topology : (a) year every months: alte there is now an extra field boy with link function W. Every vertex looks like figure (2 3b) and (b) if storting from a vertex'nd ' we how to a vertex but taken the solution with vertex 'of' missing to an infunite great executy similar to

$$Q_{ad_{-},ad_{-}}^{(ad)} = Q_{ad_{-}} + -\zeta Q_{ad_{-}}^{(a)}$$
 (2.36)

This will not hald to the absence of delinking as shows. delighed graph in the Augmented space and lat

Let 0 to the resolvent, occresponding to the

be the testimental supremutation of the operator H° in the each subspace $|f_{\alpha}^{(i)}\rangle$ of $|f_{\beta}\rangle$. Thus

$$q^{(0)}(a) = \frac{1}{(-a - \theta_a(a \rightarrow a^{\prime\prime}\theta_a(a)) - a^{\prime\prime}\theta_a(a))}$$

$$a = 1 - a \rightarrow a^{\prime\prime}\theta_a(a)$$
(2.32)

where
$$\theta(x) = \frac{1}{x - \frac{1}{2(x^2 + \frac{1}{2} 0_1) - \frac{1}{2}}}$$
 (2.36)

in the conventional CPA correlate, the self energy

$$d^{(p)}(x) = \frac{1}{4 - X(x) - R_{p}(x \cdot X)}$$

$$+ T_{p}(x \cdot X(x)) \qquad (2.39)$$

Equations(2.30) and (2.37) abov the equivalence. Equivalence of the two has been discussed in detail by Bishop and Hookerjee (1974):

2 4 THE CLUSTER CHARGESLICATION THE SELF COMMISSION STREET SPACE

The assertionation to a CDN with a cluster given by the sites (s;i. v) remains contribution of all paths between vertices herein to man configuration and different rites or between the sease site is, and configuration which differ sulp at these sites about below to the sease sites and a site of the sease sites and the sease of sites of sease as a site (s,i. sites). Ind. (s) ind. (s) ind. (s) ind. (s)



Fig. 2 4s Octagonal decoration convesponding to SUFA in Augmented space



Fig. 2 4b Renormalisation of site and beed of the obtagon in $\Delta \delta F$ due to infinite medium.



Fig 2.4s Final recoveralised aits and bend in 2009 for the senagos in Fig 2.4s

The polygonal self avoiding poths which take into account the maltople monttoring wathin the cluster are innerted to the rest of the letters and recommender the westions and links (books) have to be reportalized accordingly. We will as an example see how this in done for the 2095 for a binary allow. Oursequesting to the 2-site cluster, we have an octamenal description of salf symidian polygonal paths to the domested flours, graph, shows in the (2+2") The octagon belonging to a bond has no connection to affactively approach that the correlated most aries from three

Finally, we reservalise the vertices and bonds in the following way. The recommulication is a two step process. The decreating cotagon is not an implated octogon

atte :

involving only the two spetial states(0 and 1). If we consider the variety A (12 in the notation of Monkey/es(1975)) the bond Af (If, to Of,) belonging to the octages is only one of the I nearest neighbours of 1. The other remaining (2-1) bonds who if to if, lot, . . etc. elso hand on to mito A Secides, there been thomselves in term have their octagons

We divide the whole lettice into two subspaces lebelled by (1) and (2), (1) is a unrecognedized head AE with a Raelitanian

 $H^{2k} = T_{k} + T_{kk} + T_{kk} + \dots$

INN AE and to which all bonds and ottom are seconsalized

This has a Hamiltonian

$$H^{(0)} = \Sigma_{\underline{\alpha}}(\alpha) \cdot \Sigma \cdot P_{\underline{1}} + \Sigma_{\underline{1}}(\alpha) \cdot \Sigma \cdot T_{\underline{1}}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j \neq \alpha, d} (2.41)$$

also there is a limited Hamiltonian behaves (1) and (2) $H^{(k)} \subseteq \Sigma_{i} (x_{i}) \subseteq (T_{i} \circ T_{m} \circ T_{m} \circ T_{m}) \qquad (2.42)$

New Green's operator corresponding to the subspace

where, $\chi^{\overline{T}_{\mathbf{Y}}}$ is the lawrene of the operator X in the subsystem

0 is Green's operator is the total space and $a^{(0)}$ is $(aC - H^{(0)})^{-1}$ with

It is obvious from above examines that the effects of the rest of the lexico banging on to the bond ME is to charge the Hemiltonian $H^{\prime\prime\prime}$ to $H^{\prime\prime\prime}$ where the neif energy ν is given by

$$\sigma_{AA} = \sigma_{BE} + \sigma_{a}(a) + \Sigma_{a}^{a}(a) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{ba}^{(a)}$$

where, $N_{\underline{A}}$ are the measure neighbour of A i $N_{\underline{A}}$ are the measure neighbour of E-

STEE_2

We are now left with the isolated reasonalised

obtaios. This obtains in to be proprieduced spatis behavior onth of the vertices code as LE data are consected with other vertices through either a fished boy or spatial how for corrying on this final resemblaction we divide the outcome into two subsystems | book E (Of.IF) and the rest of the contracts. We

$$R^{n} = g_{n}R_{n} + R^{n} + g_{n}g_{n}R_{n} \qquad (6.46)$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{(k)} = g_{\mu\nu} g_{\mu\nu} (\hat{\theta}_{ij} + \hat{\theta}_{ji}) \qquad (2.47)$$

.ve have as before.

. r. o r.

and of a tax - Ha 1 "To

Now we have the final resonations rite $\mathcal{X}_{\phi}(2)$ and

bond $E_{_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ given by .

Equations (2.45) and (2.49) are the set of salf consistent form of Equations which provides self consistent

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} z_0 & v_i \\ z_1 & v_0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.50)

The Green function may now be calculated

$\mathbf{M}_{\mathtt{eff}} = \sum x_{a} P_{a} + \sum x_{a} \mathbf{T}_{aj}$

* (A'u') 4(6.) 1 (A'u')6 ((2-a')4/a)6 - a'')

where, $t = (a-k_{\phi})^{2}/k_{\chi}$ and $d(a)\pi \cdot (ak\cdot b_{\phi})^{-1}$

Once we calculate $\theta(s)$ by methods available for timestationally symmetric Hamiltonians, the equations (2-45) so (2-52) are the basic equations governing the OSPA.

.....

1.1 Ter servences were

In contrast which, there the effective, measured to the contrast of the contra

The braid problem is to find the land, deserty of

where for a tight belong or benefined extent of which the distribution of the control where the distribution of the control where the distribution of the control was a second of the control between the cont

The problem is the determination of linear constitution of the local symbols was that the electronic mass past through such mate as it different mass past through such mate as it different may from the local desired within its starts. The local desired the states for a basic united [25] is determined by the sequence of everteneously constitution of the sequence of everteneously constitution of the local sequence of everteneously constitution of the local sequence of the local seq

$$||q|_{2} = s_{1}||q|_{2} + b_{reg}||q|_{reg} + b_{re}||q|_{reg}$$
 (3.1)

where H is the Semiltonian of the model and $|\mathbb{T}_{p}\rangle$ are

locations on the shall of stone a hope from the corrections of secondaries (a). The connections is, and by dissuance, and by the concepting of much servicement to identify and its mutathers on coupling of much servicement to identify and its mutathers on the purpose of control over the control o

The coefficients on and by are generated recurringly from

$$|\Phi_{\mu}\rangle = |\Phi_{\mu}\rangle + |\Phi_{$$

We have used the orthogonality of the new basis (\mathbb{Q}_q) . The above equations are a convenient migorithm for computational generation of the coefficients a_p and b_p .

As we example, the local descrity of states for \$\langle c_2\$ and he written in terms of the parameters of the chain model

the first a ross and a columns deleted as 2 (E)

$$S_{\alpha}(E) = \frac{\Phi_{\alpha}(E)}{2}$$

 $G_{g}(E) = \frac{b_{g}(B)}{b_{g}(E)}$

$$S_{\mu}(E) = \frac{\sigma_{\mu}(E)}{\sigma_{\mu}(E)}$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{\mu}(E)}{(E - a_{\mu}) \cdot \sigma_{\mu}(E) - b_{\mu}^{2} \cdot \sigma_{\mu}(E)}$$

$$\frac{1}{(E - a_{\mu}) \cdot \sigma_{\mu}^{2} \cdot \sigma_{\mu}^{2} \cdot \sigma_{\mu}(E)}$$

$$a_{\mu}(\mathbf{E}_{1} = -(1/c)) \text{ In } (1/c) \left(\mathbf{E}_{1}a_{\mu}^{-}a_{\mu}^{2}\sqrt{-(1/c)^{-}a_{\mu}^{2}\sqrt{-}(1/c)^{-}a_{\mu}^{2}\sqrt{-})}\right)$$

where,
$$B_{n,n} = a_n$$
 , $B_{n-n} \in B_{n-1,n}^{\mathbf{F}} \times b_n$ (3.3)

teh us her to get the continued frection for local density of atoms u_p(E) starting from the Green function. One can innediately check that in the above basis set [U_p) the Hamiltonian is a tridinguisal matrix

 $\theta_{\alpha}(\mathbf{E}) + (\theta_{\alpha} \mid (\mathbf{e}! \cdot \mathbf{E})^{-1} \mid \theta_{\alpha})$

lot us define the determinest of the matrix with the fixet a your sed a columns deleted as D (E)

$$\begin{split} Q_{\mu}(E) &= \frac{D_{\mu}(E)}{D_{\mu}(E)} \\ &= \frac{D_{\mu}(E)}{(E - g_{\mu}) \cdot D_{\mu}(E) \cdot V_{\mu}^{2} \cdot D_{\mu}(E)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(E - g_{\mu}) \cdot D_{\mu}^{2} \cdot D_{\mu}(E) / D_{\mu}(E)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(E - g_{\mu}) \cdot D_{\mu}^{2} \cdot D_{\mu}^{2} \cdot D_{\mu}(E)} \end{split}$$

$$b_{\mu}(\vec{k}) := \frac{1}{8 \cdot a_{\mu} \cdot b_{\mu}^{2} \cdot (\vec{k} \cdot q_{\mu} \cdot b_{\mu}^{2} \cdot J^{-} \cdot ... \cdot (\vec{k} \cdot a_{\mu, \mu} \cdot b_{\mu}^{2} \cdot q_{\mu}(\vec{k})))}$$
(3.4)

$$q_{_{_{\mathcal{H}}}}(E) = \frac{E \cdot p_{_{_{\mathcal{H}}}} \cdot p_{_{_{\mathcal{H}}} \cdot 1}^{-1} \cdot q_{_{_{\mathcal{H}}}}(E)}{E \cdot p_{_{_{\mathcal{H}}}} \cdot p_{_{_{\mathcal{H}}} \cdot 1}^{-1} \cdot q_{_{_{\mathcal{H}}}}(E)}$$

Thus $G_{\alpha}(R)$ has a continued fraction

wild) is nessed more not torminate after a finite number of steps it has been varieted that for many systems the commission fraction converges were fast. Fine the securegated is above or occiliatory is one be tarminated by various termination sphemes Devocal translations endpasses have been developed by for (1971-1984) and Morenda and the (1984).

3.0 TATORY OF CONTINUE PRACTICA TERRIPATORS

For equivalent termination enhances a specialism, the heal of the continued fraction for the Genes function, the laws to manusc that the appunishment receives 2 Most 1 Countries 0 of the Countries of the Countr

The continued fraction form of the Green function for a uniform chain parameter i c a.c a , b.r b is

$$q_{_{\mathbf{0}}}(E) = \frac{1}{-e^{-\varphi^2} \hat{\alpha}_{_{\mathbf{0}}}(E)}$$

or,
$$b^{\mu}Q_{\mu}^{\mu}(K) = (K \cdot \mu) \theta_{\mu}(K) \cdot \nu 1 = 0$$

Towardone

$$\hat{q}_{g}(E) = \frac{2b^{g}}{2b^{g}}$$
(3.5)

Form we need not see the formination poisson because the Green function is worst. The negative sign is observe in (3.8) to answer a Security $S_{ij}(0)$. The Green function has two best eight singularities as $S_{ij} = a + 2b$ and $S_{ij} = a + 2b$ and a = b resch only between these energies since the real sample same.

Let we now operation a general erysion bowing a single band Healthonian. In this case, the square root termineter (3.6) is appropriate at shown by Haydock and Nex (1984). No 32]-extrate methomatically below Ne have the Orenfountion.

where b(E) is the returned terminator

since the eigenstate of the chain is some linear communation of the states $\{0_p\}$, $\{0_p\}$, , we write the

physicianger equation for the chain in the following form

In matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma \circ b_1) & \circ b_2 & 0 & 1 & \cdots \\ -b_1 & (\Sigma \circ b_2) & \circ b_3 & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \circ b_2 & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots$$

The recursion coefficients can be feasi in course of orthogonal polynomials. We use those of the first kind $\mathbb{P}_{Q}(\Sigma)$ and second bind $\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma)$ Now making a proper choice of boundary to the contract of the second bind $\mathbb{Q}(\Sigma)$.

conditions such that
$$y_{i,i} = 0 + q_{i,i}$$
, $y_{i,i} = 1$, $q_{i,i} + b_{i,i}^2$ (3) for

$$p_{i,q}(E) = (E \cdot e_i) \cdot p_i(E) \quad : \quad b_i^E \cdot p_{i+1}(E) \label{eq:problem}$$

 $q_{i}(E) = (E^{i}\phi_{i})q_{i+1}(E) - b_{i}^{i}q_{i+2}(E)$

We now well

$$q(E) = \frac{q_{reg}(E) - b_{reg}^2(E) q_{reg}(E)}{p_{reg}(E) + b_{reg}^2(E) p_{reg}(E)}$$
(3.9)

2 ST ABMILINARE COMMISSION

We have these temperature contributes and which two mentions on many temperature for the project space in the contribution of the five for empirical properties of the forms foundation, while is to the contribution of the forms foundation, while is to the contribution of the forms and the contribution of t

For the case of single beed density of etales, the square zook terminatur is oppropriate and we have

 $v(K) = \frac{1}{1} \cdot K - (\alpha \sqrt{2})/2 - \sqrt{(K \cdot \alpha)(K \cdot \beta)} \cdot 1$

(3.10)

where E = 0 and E = 0 correspond to the band edges and $b = (0^{n} \circ 1)^{d}$. In the smithband case,we are a week! Greenian F(E) (Haydook and Sax(1954)), with somera more band-adam

singularities,

P(B) = 2 (0)(0-(e/e/10) = √ (0-e/10) (0-(-0)) (1/e) (1/e)

When a, 1/2 and 3/4 are proportionally the Left bandwise departs how virtual and the name wighter (the sames or disputs) have virtually to the same wighter (the sames or continued fraction to that 3th band) as 3 man over all the tends. The tends of the same virtual to the virtua

 $\langle \sigma, g \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij} \sigma(s_{jj}) \cdot g(s_{ij})$ (3.12)

where, w_a = = 8/ (011) aids , x_a = 0/(1-mas/1s/2

The provides the percel from of benefactor of the contents of

$$s(E) = \frac{s_{n-g}(E) \cdot F(E) \cdot s_{n-g}(E)}{d_{n-g}^{2}(S) \cdot F(E)s_{n-g}(E)}$$
(3.10)

The third terminetion echaes, the quadrature approach does not generate $\tau(E)$ suplicitly, but in some measurement over all possible values of $\tau(E)$. In this case, the lategral disability of states

$$S(E) = \int_{0}^{E} a(x) dx \quad \text{is approximated by} \quad \sum_{i \in E} a(E_i(E)) + a(E)$$

where α is a convicat — which usually taken the values 0.5 and K_i a are the figure values of the Jacobi matrix (5,2)

extended by generators a new confficient

$$s_{n,\pm} + E - b_{n-2}^{E} b_{n-2}(E) \neq b_{n-2}(E) \qquad (3.15)$$

This ensures that at least one of the Kony K, is could be K.

Then the deserty of states is approximated by

$$n(E) = \frac{2_{1}^{2}(E)}{2_{1+1}(E)} \left[\frac{e}{2_{1}} \frac{\delta v_{1}}{2\delta_{1+1}} \approx \frac{\delta v_{n}}{\delta \lambda_{n-1}} \right] \qquad (3.16a)$$

$$n' + n(g') + d^{-2}(g'(E)) + 3^{2}(g'(E))$$
 (8.169)

$$\delta c_i / \delta a_{i+1} := \left\{ (c_{i+1}^i c_{i+1} - c_i - C c_{i+1}^i r_i - r_i c_i c_{i+1}^i r_i - r_i c_i c_i^i r_i^i \right\}_{i \in \mathcal{S}_i} = 1/ - \left((c_i^{-1} c_i^{-1} c_{i+1} - c_i^{-1} c_i^$$

If a is a function of E,the above exponentials are then modified and the library routines can be used as they stand as the output conteins all infernation meeded in the

Somethmen it is merful to evaluate $\omega(E)$ without applicits calculation of a, then the Christotral-Davious theorem (Chihnes ,1979) each on as so write a nore independent form:

$\nu(E) = \frac{E_{n,q}(E)q_{n,q}(E) - p_{n,q}(E)q_{n,q}(E)}{p_{n,q}(E)q_{n,q}^p(E)q_{n,q}^p(E)q_{n,q}^p(E)/q_{n,q}^p}$

(5,17)

3.2 APPLICATION TO 4-STATES.

To a consister the sea a classes of the sea and sea an

on infinite crystal, we show in the state in two conters of the cluster as having an environment most like the crystal In an infinite for crystal, drochitals can be chosen

PADLE 31 Companies of Lance Service (Service)			
			FIRME .
			130042
			219461 -
			117/05/
			0 113KL - 1
			O FERRY - I
			0 FCE452 - 1
			6 E21074 - 5
			9147645 - 0
FIRST NO. 45	#144072 - 10 F190075 - 00		
	63 SAN	## 100 P 100	** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **

To this there are only that are non-convolutal i one complime to the F_{ij} states and the other conting to the T_{ij} states to the following the cluster elements does not possess the full point group symmetry of the following the T_{ij} supercety is again to the cluster boundary into a doublet and a singlet whole the T_{ij} doublet where T_{ij} are colored to boundary into a doublet ond a singlet while the T_{ij} doublet is also possible.

Table J. 1 lists parameters for the first 11 levels for charms initiated by each of the five orbitals on the central atom of the cluster There are a total of 326 degrees of freedom which is quite modest for a calculation of this type but serves for illustration. The chains have age Serminated but considerable information can be derived from them. The first fee levels of the chains display the triply degecerate T, and the doubly degenarate E, ayumetries. This is because until the chain states involve atoms on the splits the two lettice symmetries and this readles us to see the effect of the boundary There is little to lease from the chain states except that because the Hamiltonian involves only measure suighbour booming, the weight of the states moves purposed with each level cottl the states hit the becomisery and scanner off in The behaviour of the states in sealmones to the free electron comple, although the medium is discrete rather than restincent



3 31 THE & BASE DESCRIPT OF STATES OF MICHAEL

Figures 3.1 (a) and (b) show the d hand density of states of pure Sichel as darged from a recursion mathod calculation on a cluster of " 1900 atoms using 5 and 20 levels respectively, of the continued frection expension. The centre of the band is easy the pero of the energy scale for the up band of Nickel. The band starts from about -0.1 Red and restitute upto 0.1 Red. The does hard to breaver shifted slightly to the right rabout 0.00 for 1. The starting values of the bend centres were taken from Sanassan and Ecomorti (1871) The scale used to their calculation was bossess different from the one med to the Samuraton mathel. We therefore utilized a properties scale: There's 0.16 Each o the Severales was at 0.077 sharess is the Desegows it was at nary. The bend centres were later salf consistently oalcolated.

....

SLUCTION CONSELECTION IN HAPPON CHAPPON ASSETS

4 1 INTRODUCTION

Transitive and rare earth metals have in addition to their conduction bends, partly filled d or f-bends. Which give give to the characteristic occueption of those metals. Correlation phenomena age of great importance in determining the properties of these carrow energy beeds, indeed more important than the coppensating effects in conduction bands Since these d or f-electrons are relatively more incelters t. s. the hand widths are narrower than the conduction beads. the free electron was down not recycle a good model for these bands. Therefore, a theory of correlation which adequately takes into account the atomistic nature of the solid in required. In the case of f-electrons of rere-earth estale. for most purposes a payely atomic (also referred to an Shitley-London or Localized) model will suffice: The same in not torus for the drelectrons of the transition actals. Similarly correlation offices in a fully free electron gas also is rather different in mature from the convolution offects in manyow energy bends.

The nierton sheeps density in drived in dears meathe ion cores of the rolld and spence between them, that in why is in presible to sensor an alteriors to a particular atom. This situation gives rise to the possibility of an localised contription of the drived density its head-states.

Exceptionally, it has been observed that the Galactics of the recention stated analysis shorecast and of such actions of the recention of the senses noted. Therefore, wherey of correlation in debugs of the transition marked about to tribb a believe thousand line therefore.

It is not simplifying from, the stonic theory pictures.

streaming the secondaries of our stady concept to the immunos of the conduction statement as the conduction statement as one of interesting with one state is work the our way as the corresponding to the first instance of obligations of obligations of constitutions of the conduction of the conduction

weakly with electrons on other stone Such later-stonic correlations are invitably make the motel behave to nonnatural solverding to the productions of the stonic model.

The stretches was beauticable by considering and consideration of the co

We now match on the electronic liberarities. But if first first size is not used with one yet to the intervention intervention will be alerted spine or an else, will be underlying and the electronic spine or an else, will be underlying and the electronic spine or an else, will be underlying and the electronic spine or an else, will be underlying and the electronic spine of the electronic

Note or the presence of or the soft prophesium; If the clother wheel, from our element under prophesium; If the clother wheel, from our element under the prophesium; If the clother wheel, from our element under the control of the clother wheel, for the clother way of the clother

the situation. Thus are any sill arrows the electrons to save applify from etcs to come as exceed in the best model, their actions we be exceeded to save a superior of proportions observations of the etcsic theory. That is been the electrons on subhit's both types of behaviour solutions when the electron or subhit's both types of the electron wind throughly. The degree of escete business are subdeconferable to testing the through the electronic subtional types the testing of the corrections.

Thes an importent requirement of a theory of correlation in narrow energy hunds in that it has the

property of enducine to the nomin melutame in the appropriate limit is when applied one hypothetical system of abone on a lottle but which reperted from each other and interacting only weakly Bubberd (1965) in his force years described a very single approximate theory Publisher the above recomment. We describe his formulation below

4.2 MATHEMATICAL POSMILATED

to a consistent a symmetric propriation provide platform according to the best still be according to the acco

We take $\Omega_{\rm ph}$ and $\Omega_{\rm ph}^{\rm r}$ to be the usual destruction and creation expansions respectively for electrons in the Slock relate (k, σ) where σ if its the spin label. The dynamics of electrons of the band is represented approximately by the Samiltonian

$$-2 \sum_{ij} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 2 \cos \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right) v_{ij} v_{ij}^{\dagger} v_{ij}^{\dagger} \right)$$

$$-2 \sum_{ij} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 2 \cos \left(\cos \left(\cos \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right) v_{ij} v_{ij}^{\dagger} v_{ij}^{\dagger} v_{ij}^{\dagger} \right\}$$
(6.11)

here & runs over the first Brillouin none and

$$\Phi_{i} k_{3} \{ L / e | P_{i}^{*} (k_{3}^{*}) = e^{i t} \int_{-T} \frac{p_{i_{1}}^{*}(E) p_{i_{2}}^{*}(E) p_{i_{3}}^{*}(E) \cdot p_{i_{3}}(E)}{|\{E,E^{*}\}|} d^{2} t.$$
(4.1)

The first two is (i.1) represent the heat course of the electron of the assess that interaction recognition for the electron of the electron of the course of the electron of the characteristic than parts of the Dartsen-Per field window from the electron of the de-deed titudi. The black term is recently electron of the de-deed titudi. The black terms is reconstituted to the electron of the black telectron of the land tolerone excellently in the electron of the Electron

context of the states of the band in the Sautree Freb calculation, assuming up and does now reten to be occupied

It is now communited to go over to a tight binding representation of the Semiltonian by taking recoverse to the

$$\phi(\bar{\Phi}) = B^{(4/3)} \oplus \psi_{\alpha}(\bar{\Phi})$$
 (4.3)

where N is the number of stome. One can write

where the eue rest ever all the stonic possions θ_1 . Introducing the oranic and destruction operators $\phi_{g,p}^{*}$ and $\phi_{g,p}$ for an electron of soin r in the orbital state $\phi_1(T^{*}B_1)$. One can write

Thus the Hamiltonian (6 1) can be rewritten as

 $\begin{aligned} & = \sum_{i,j} T_{i,j} c_{i,j}^{\dagger} c_{i,j}^{\dagger} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j,j \in \mathcal{S}_{i,j} \\ i \neq j}} c_{i,j}^{\dagger} c_{i,j}^{\dagger} + c_{i,j}^{\dagger} c_{i,j}^{\dagger} c_{i,j}^{\dagger} \\ & = \sum_{i,j} T_{i,j} c_{i,j}^{\dagger} c_{i,j}^{\dagger} + \sum_{i,j} C_{i,j}^{\dagger} c_{i,j}^{\dagger} c_{i,j}^{\dagger} + c_{i,j}^{\dagger} c_{i,j}^{\dagger$

where,
$$T_{\underline{k}} + K^{*} \subseteq T_{\underline{k}} \circ L^{\underline{k}} (\overline{\Omega}_{\underline{k}}^{-\underline{k}})$$
 (4.7)

 $\frac{\text{CLJ}(1/\pi) |2\Phi = \sqrt{-\frac{\pi^2 \left(2\pi \frac{1}{2}\right) + (2\pi \frac{1}{2})}{||2 - 2\pi||} \times \sqrt{-2} \cdot 2\pi^2 \cdot 2\pi^2}{\times \sqrt{-2} \cdot 2\pi^2 \cdot 2\pi^2}$

$$\operatorname{end} = v_{j,1} + 3^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} v_{k} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{B}_{j} \cdot \tilde{B}_{j} \right) \tag{4.9}$$

We will now whit the assession interfiling approximation. Here we design of the content of the Pentaline Facilities of will closely exceeded as the Pentaline Facilities of will closely exceeded as small, where the pentaline states of the best of which is selled. Some of the pentaline states of

$$H = \sum_{k \geq 0} \ T_{\frac{k}{2}i} \ C_{2ij}^{\mu} \ C_{j\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \ 0 \sum_{k \neq 0} \alpha_{j,\mu} \ \alpha_{j,-\mu}$$

where
$$v_{\underline{k}p} = c_{\underline{k}p}^{\bullet} \cdot c_{\underline{k}p}^{\bullet} = \text{ From (4.9) } v_{\underline{k}\underline{k}} + \underline{s}^{\bullet} \cdot \underline{p} \cdot v_{\underline{k}} + p/2$$

No. the last term of equention (4.10) reduces to

and may, therefore, be dropped after a redefinition of the sero of our energy scale. Equation (4.10) to the approximate Hemiltonian used hereafter

Heav approximations have been made in the destination of the slephified Emplituals (4.10). He will now owning the volidity of these approximations as explicit to the case of Direlectron in the transition metals.

The most obvious approximation has been the explort of all the interaction terms in equation (4.0) other than U. For the same of computions, can have to enter that U has the order of magnificate a 20 of for "M sleetures in transmittee match." The largest of the members decrease when there is a transmittee match. The largest of the members decrease when there is a property of the property where S and S are members inabbloots. From

(4.5) Since returning on the attacked to have the confer of materials (19.70), θ of the viewer over ground to the formation from the formation is because the state of the formation of the tentancies of all statement of the formation of all statement of the formation of all statement of the formation of all statements of the formation of all statements are statement of the formation of all statements are statement of the formation of all statements are statement of the formation of the format

$$\label{eq:continuous} \exp\{i (x_i + y_i) \} = \frac{-j_0 - x_i + j_0 - j_0}{[R_1 - R_1]} \, R_2$$

which falls off reptily with increasing $[\hat{B}_{ij},\hat{B}_{ji}]$, on eccount of the exponential factor. Thus the term

$$\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{E}{=} \stackrel{E}{=} \operatorname{COM}(V_{\mathrm{M}}(T)) \times^{\mathrm{D}} V^{\mathrm{D}} \qquad (4.11)$$

in equation (4 d) can perhaps be regisated an compared to 0 as a first approximation.

The other neglected terms son of the type

C13|1/r[10 = \frac{1}{4} = 20d = 0.1 eV C13|1/r[10 = C13|1/r]25 = e² med = 0.025 eV

where 1, J and is are all normal maightness, and q = 0.05 is the overlay charge (in units of of between two like-lactions on notarian analysis stems. All tolkes interesting forms of equation (6.8) which have been neglected are will smaller than three which one measure already small compared to those of equation (4.31)

Another economisation that has been made one of in that only the interections among 50-electrons in considered. the interection with electrons of the other bands being represented only through the Sartrea-Fook field. In estimating the order of magnitude of the terms of exaction (4 11) for allowage was made for the pareening effects of the conduction electron see on the interactions So. the sussetter artess so to whether there is a similar expressing affect reducing the neggin of U. There is, in fact, rush exoffers. The eread with which d-electrons more from etcm to stam is also compared to the velocity of a typical conduction electron and, therefore, the latter con correlate afficiently with the d-alestress and account their fields. Thus, if a given atom has an entre d-alectron, its negative charge, will repul conduction electrons producing a correlation hole about atom in the conduction electron gap. The presence of this hole reduces the electrostatic potential at the stor (and, theraform, at each of the delectrons) by about 6 of which as the name them or reducing 0 by 5 oV. This reduction though ammensable does not absume the order of magnitude of 0.

There is the a selection in 0 by the commentation of the Commentation of the Administration by the Administration by the Administration between the Selection of the Commentation of the Commentation of the Administration (Administration of the Administration (Administration of Administration of Admin

To appeared most researchic to use in the Manitonium of specimic (a) by a effective $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ of Writing than the gives by the interest (4.9). The appearance of the model of a contain (4.10) are subscript on a to make it is uncreasable starting point for any poor as to make it is uncreasable starting point for a feature of overviewing for the chainst chargement of the same manifolds in mixed from (4.10) and to be tension as protectively-make on the saluting observed from (4.10).

4.3 THE HARTMEE-ROCK APPROXIMATION

One on which the effective Enterection Communication Development of the Communication the section of the Communication Communic

$$-\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{g} = T_{g_{1}} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{g_{2}}^{p} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{g_{2}} + \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{g_{2}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{g}_{2} - p}$$
 (4.18)

Restructuring to the class of solutions for which

(4:13)

$$\mathbf{K}_{pp} \in \mathbf{E} \ \mathbf{T}_{pp} \ \mathbf{G}_{pp}^{\mathbf{F}} \ \mathbf{G}_{pp} + \mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{E}_{pp} \ \mathbf{G}_{pp}^{\mathbf{F}} \ \mathbf{G}_{pp} \ \mathbf{G}_{pp}$$
(4.14)

Transforming back to the operators of the

$$\theta_{sp} = \frac{p}{2} \cdot \frac{p}{2} \left(\theta_{p} + 0 \cdot \kappa_{sp} \right) \cdot G_{sp}^{p} \cdot G_{pp} \tag{4.15} \label{eq:definition}$$

This is simply the Smallmann for σ onligation of contributions discretion with a discretion with a slightly self-time but of contributions. On energy of the $0 \sim 1$ state one being $(K_i \otimes L_{ij})$ water than K_i^{-} . If will represent the densities of state to the best state of contributions in the best state of K_i^{-} . If we contribute the contribution K_i^{-} is the electrons densities of state $\sigma_i(E)$ where $\sigma: E = 1$ for the electrons densities of K_i^{-} and K_i^{-} in the contribution of K_i^{-} in K_i^{-}

$$\rho_{g}(E) \approx \rho(E \cdot v_{E,g}) + \rho(E \cdot v_{E} + v_{E_{g}}) \qquad (4.16)$$

where the last term follows from

If ν is the chemical potential of the electrons that at the absolute zero of temperature, one has

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mu} = \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} \rho_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} \rho_{\mu}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}_{\mu}) d\mathbf{x}$$
 (4.16)

The pair of equations (4.16) must now be solved together with (4.17) for $x_{\mu},~x_{\mu}$ and μ .

One possible solution of equation (4 18) is when

which represents a non heavetic state of the system : if it

$$E/2 = \int_{0}^{1} \rho (R - \frac{1}{2} \otimes n) dR$$
 (4.30)

It may be possible to find favromagnatic selector even if $x_0 = x_0$ provided 0 is sufficiently large. In this case equations (4-18) burn have two distinct existions such

It can be readily seen that the condition (4 19) and (4.20) give a double solution of (4.15). condition can at case be found from (4.18) to be 1 = 0 P(v - 1 0a)

Thus, if for our E, the condition U F(E) > 1 is natisfied, then for some a god or determined by (4.20) and is 211 Harares-Pook theory predicts that the eyeten will become farrymagnetic. It will be found that when correlation effects eye taken into account one obtains a communication

4.4 CPA AND CHURCHS UP ARAPPED TO PROPERTY OF ALLEGA

In an alley $\delta_i\beta_{i,j}$ because stone A and S. distributed in a random memory, the Shewittenian in given by

$$\begin{split} H &= \sum_{j,j'} \sigma_{j,j'} \, a_{j,j'} \, a_{j$$

where $\lambda_{ij}^{(1)}$ is $\lambda_{ij}^{(2)}$ and the contains and similarities assume the expectation of the size of $\lambda_{ij}^{(2)}$ and $\lambda_{ij}^{(2)}$ and $\lambda_{ij}^{(2)}$ are $\lambda_{ij}^{(2)}$ and $\lambda_{ij}^{(2)}$ are the containing of the

The Healtinian (4 22) is an edeptition of the Hamiltonian proposed by Whinay or of (1983) to Fortemannetic allows for a simple bend model The Coulomb Interestate Verm introduced in the Hamiltonian following the model enumed by blobbad (IRR) of Kansan (IRR) is their dissuration of the Cartenia Creativities. The examplise of non-realization of the Cartenia Creativities are supported in the Cartenia Creativities of the Cartenia Ca

the section chapter, we write the effective Beniltonian N_i for electrons with spin σ on

$$H_{\mu} = \frac{\pi}{4} a_{1\mu} a_{2\mu}^{\mu} a_{3\mu} + \frac{\pi}{12} v_{1,1} a_{3\mu}^{\mu} a_{3\mu}$$
 (4.23)

where
$$e_{L0}$$
 is $e_1^* + 0 \le e_{L^{op}}^* e_{L^{op}}^*$ (4.2)

where $(a_{1:np}^{\varphi},a_{1:np})$ = $x_{1:np}$ is the everego number of electrons wish spin \to at the $i^{(k)}$ locales site. The everego number

$$\langle a_{1 \rightarrow c}^{\bullet} \ a_{1 \rightarrow c} \rangle = a_{1 \rightarrow c} \tag{4.25}$$

where 5 = 5 or 5.

In a realistic model we obsuld occupier a multi-band case, rether than the simple bend case we have

been discussing so far. In this case, the one electron Hamiltonian for the given alloy is expende to have the form

$$H + \sum_{ijkl} e^{2ijkl} \cdot v_{jklk}^{kl} \cdot e^{2ijkl} + K \qquad \qquad (4.24)$$

with $e_{ijm} \circ e_1 \circ v_{ij} \in s_{ijm}^{\bullet} \circ s_{ijm}$.

where a total for mr of the impossive leads and the contrastive leads and the contrastive leads are the time of the leads of the leads

$$e^{i \pi \alpha_{i} \cdot \mu \cdot \mu_{i} \cdot \mu_{i} \cdot \mu_{i}} = e^{i \pi_{i} \cdot \mu_{i} \cdot \mu_{i} \cdot \mu_{i} \cdot \mu_{i} \cdot \mu_{i}} + \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} a^{i \pi_{i} \cdot \mu}$$

 $- \sum_{i} J_i \, n_{ijj} \, d_{ijj}$

- c + 0 n₁₋₀ + 4 fo₁₋₀ + 4 f n₁₀ - 4 zn₁₀

* e, * 50 s, ... + 4 (0-7) s.

 $1 \cdot e_{\frac{1}{2}} = 62 a_{\frac{1}{2}-p} = 4 \cdot (9 \circ J) (\pi^{-p}_{\frac{1}{2}-p})$

: e₁ * 60a₁₋₀ - 4(0-J)a₂₋₀ + 4(0-J)a : e₂ * 0a₁₋₀ * 4 Ja₂₋₀ * Commt

(redifining the zero of the energy again)

In the oblarest potential eppressuation the everage number of electrons at a site does not depend on the attentional 15 depends on the species of etera congepting the site though 15 depends on the species of etera congruing the site in the everage numbers $n_{g_{\rm c}}$ or $n_{g_{\rm c}}$ will be calculated anif consistently by the use of the formule given below

In our problem, the coherent principle is a pin dependent and as it will be represented by $T_{\rm p}$. While $\sigma_{\rm g}$ and $\sigma_{\rm g}$ are replaced by $c_{\rm p}$ and $c_{\rm g}$, to obtain the increasing formulas from Yalinky and (1980) where Thes the coherent protection $T_{\rm p}$ which is a function of a where $\alpha = \sigma + \phi$ if should assisty for a given concontexture α and inc

$$=4\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}-\Gamma_{\alpha}(+)+2\epsilon_{\alpha}(+)4\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}-\Gamma_{\alpha}(+); \qquad \qquad (4,21)$$

where 6 (a) or class (

$$G_{\mu}$$
 (a) $\times G^{(a)}(x \cdot E_{\mu}$ (b))

$$\pm \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{(m)}(a)}{a^{-n} \Sigma_{-}(a) - a} da$$
 (4.30)

where $\alpha^{(O)}(e)$ is the density of states per unit energy defined for the swarpy bond given by the second tree in the sight kend wide of the equations (4.22) and (4.23) The wavege number of electrons on the stone A or S is calculated by the unlikely

$$\label{eq:definition} D_{\underline{Q}\theta} \approx \int_{00}^{\infty} \rho_{\underline{Q}\theta} \ (4.31)$$
 where $\underline{x} \in A$ or \underline{B}

where f(z) is the Formi distribution function at a given becomes T_{i} .

$$f(e) = \frac{1}{14 \exp \{(e^{-\mu})/kT\}}$$
 (4.38)

 $\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\sigma)$ is the energy distribution function of electrons on atom , given by

$$P_{10}^{-}(a) = -\frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{G_0^{-}(a)}{(1-G_{10}^{-} - G_0^{-}(a)) \cdot G_0^{-}(a))} \right]$$
 (4.33)

In the case of COUA, we wanted a cluster of atoma in the effective motion we demond, that there is no excess contenting on the energes we a result has in 1500, we find the self-energy T will constituently . To COUA, however, I will be a remited with compounds between extent within the clusters from self-energies with contents of a size of the configuration of the constructive and outcomes of a size of the configuration of th

$$Q_{1,0}(x) > \frac{1}{x} \operatorname{Im} \left[\frac{\langle Q_{\mu}(x) \rangle}{\langle 1 \rangle \langle x \rangle_{\mu} + \frac{1}{x} \langle \mu_{\mu}(x) \rangle \langle \frac{1}{x} \langle \mu_{\mu}(x) \rangle}}{2} \right]$$

$$(4.25a)$$

 Σ_{j} , see disposal and the other off-disposal. The self-energies are determined self-consistently within the suscented opace formalism disposed is Chapter II.

For practical calculations we select perweters

 e_{g} , e_{g} , Q_{g} , Q_{g} and the state density function $\rho^{(0)}(x)$ as the imput. We determine six unknown questities n_{gg} (i.s. k ov. 2) and σ a. " or ω and E_{g} through six simultaneous temperatures.

After noiving the simulteneous equations (4.20) to (4.20) we obtain various physical quantities of the aller-Tax daugity of states of plantons with course to

$$\sigma_{\mu} + o \, \sigma_{\mu\nu} + (1 \cdot o) \, \sigma_{\mu\nu}$$
 (4.34)

The overage enaber of electrons with spin σ per atom is given by

$$a_{\mu} = a \ v_{\mu\nu} + \ (1 \cdot a) \ v_{\mu\nu} \tag{4.35}$$

The magnetic moment of λ or S abow in the units of ν_{ϕ} is obtained by

$$e_{\underline{i}} = 5 \cdot (n_{\underline{i}} e + n_{\underline{i}}) \tag{4.98}$$

$$(\underline{i} + \lambda \text{ or } B)$$

the factor 5 accounts for the five fold degeneracy of the account detends. The average magnetic account of the alloy peratem in the same soil in given by

There are, as usual, two related self-meanstenery loose with a given shown for n_0 , we fix our n_0 and carry cot. The COSE maintainer for the owners of twee functions $d_{g_1}(x)$ as described as Chepter 11. Thus involves a self-remeastant evaluation of $\frac{1}{2}$. Then we recalled the q_1 which we have $\frac{1}{2}$ the first self-remeastant and the self-rest self-remeastant serious of $\frac{1}{2}$. Then we recalled the $\frac{1}{2}$ the self-rest self-remeastant $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ the self-rest self-remeastant $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ the self-rest self-remeastant $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ the self-rest self-remeastant $\frac{1}{2}$ the self-remeastant $\frac{1$

We should note that we have set out to generalize the separated spoon approach to mean which require a suff-containtee determinates of the Homiltonian We have achieved this in this acotion in its explication to a simple nodel. The final object will be to decourants an actual incisentation of this to a communical exempts.

4 S APPLICATION TO SI, ... Fe, SYSTEMS

To come calculation, we receive $\rho^{(0)}(z)$ as an impair management (1921) has been a stanged model (1921). Nowever, take as our looks the method of execute of taken obtained from the recoration enthal as described in Caspier (111 % have allowed described this calculation in the markets properly in some detail. This haped is committee, for reperly in the needle model.

No will take, for pure mighel, 1 86 electrons pur

We see that the second of the

The procedure of the p

 and finally m, the overage magnetic moment of the alloy via equations (4.34) to equations (4.37) respectively.

Not the field the two instants for all not for the state state of the state of the

4 S RESILTS AND DISCUSSION



Fig. 4 in Knergy equint comproment desaition of states in CFR for a = 0.1



Fig 4.1b Energy egiliat compounds describes of pinters in CFR for c v 0.15



Fig 4 is Energy against component Consition is nature in GPA for a * 0.20



Fig. 4 16 Entrey against component densities of states in CPA for C = 0.25



Fig. 6 le Energy against component dessition of pinter in CPA for a 1 0 20



Fig. 4.2s Energy against component densities of states in CCPA for a > 0.10



Fig 4 15 Energy against component dessition of states to COPA for a s 0 15



Fig. 4.2s Energy against component densities of states in CCPA for c = 0.20



Fig. 4 3d Engy against component densities of states in CCTA for c = 0 25



Fig 4 20 Energy against component densities of obsteen in CEFA for c - 0 30

(Fig 4 2), there are seen processes in the down upon band for both up and the down spin homels, we have a sharp peak an the visinity of the Fremi level (courses the 'mendiate left' of the Fremi level in the up spin bend and towards the transition sixth of it in the down spin bend.)

The definition is the superior page boom for various Processing States of the Land Continue of the Galler and to the Land Continue of the Galler and Processing States of the Land Continue of the Galler and Processing States are various to be such other. The force continue of the Galler and Processing States are vary close to each other. The force continue of the Galler and Park up and down sends, one after the continue of the Galler and Processing States and Continue of the Galler and Continue of the Cont

Most from the distriction in the minority of the back excellent factors observed by Figures (4.1) and (4.5) is the peak observed by the minority with bead (4.0 the tilt) of Fared Devel perts where with bead (4.0 the resonant time of Fared St. Far

pathons appears in the amounty hand. The CPA streets testinated the heatings of the setband spinishing off: This pathons swapes had the minority hand as the essentiation of Fe increases to SSN.

The flower is 1.50 and (1.51 are that the same tensor in the contribution of distriction at the off-training to the contribution of the contribution of the contribution at the contribution at the contribution is the contribution of the contribution in the contribution at the contribution at the contribution at the contribution at the contribution of the contribution at the contribut

Another orthorable feature is that in the case of Fi. the peak (corresponding to the two cases of twin up and spin Another very glose to each other on either side of the Ferni level. In the case of Fe. Nouver, she corresponding peaks are percessed by largue codary maps Alem, onlike the each of perival density of states for FE, in these for Fe, the



Fig. 4.7s Energy against partial densities of states of Si in CPA for $\alpha = 0.10$



Fig. 4 3b Energy against partial desistant of states of Na in CFE for c : 0.15



Fig 4 20 Energy against portial desaition of states of Ni in CFS for a = 0 20



Fig. 6 26 Energy against partial dessition of states of Hi in CPA for c = 0.25



states of Si in CPA for c = 0 30



Fig. 4 4s Energy against portial descrition of States of Fe in CFS for c r 8 in



Fig 4 4b Deargy against partial desition of status of Fe in CFA for 0 = 0.15



Fig. 4.40 Energy against partial densities of state of Fe is GPA for c = 0.20



Fig. 4 46 Energy against portial densities of states of Fe in CFA for c = 0.25



Fig. 4 6c Energy against partial desaitses of states of Fe in UPA for c = 0.30



Fig. 4 5e Engy equinst pertial densities of states of \$1 in CCFR for c = 0 10



Fig. 4.36 Energy against portial describes of states of Ms in CCM for a = 0 15



Fig. 4 So Energy against partial desities of states of Ni is CUPA for 0 = 0.20



pinter of En in OUPA for c = 0.35



Fig. 4 to Energy against partial dessition of states of Hi in CCFA for 0 t 0 30



Fig. 6 Se Spergy against partial describes of neates of Pa in DOPA for c - 0 10



Fig. 4 65 Energy against portial densities of states of Fe in CCPA for c = 0.15



Fig. 4 Sc Energy against partial Considers of States of Fe in CLPA for c = 0.30



THE STATE OF THE S



states of Fe an ODFA for e : 0.50

peak corresponding to the span down case is higher than that is the spin up case with increasing concentration of Fe in the alloy for both Er and Pa portial describes of states. the pank in the apin down case in less sharp and more arrend out Noth for the CEA and CODA the yeak corresponding to us spin bend has greater height than that corresponding to the down upon bent in the case of St. This, however, is not so in the core of Fo. where for large assessmentant, one that the ourresponding to the form asia band to higher in magnitude. Resent 15%, however, even to the case of Fe. the us auto hand has a higher took than the down arts. hand stady of figures (4.31 - (4.6) will reveal that CFA and CCFA differ mostly in the minority spin bend of Fe This is espected since the disorder parameter $(e_1 \cdot e_2)/V$ (where V in the band width) is large in the minority upin beed. It is well known from seplier work on model systems that almost eli the difference between OFA and CCFA arises in this regime. The effect is largest at low concentrations.

In the GW activities, magnetic research of Pa is the siley decreases for 3.0 m $_{\odot}$ or < 0.1 in 2.0 m $_{\odot}$ m q to 2.0 m $_{\odot}$ could not seen to 2.1 in 2.0 m $_{\odot}$ m to 2.0 in 2.0 m $_{\odot}$ could not expect of Pa research of Pa Pa $_{\odot}$ could not $_{\odot}$ m $_{\odot}$ could not $_{\odot}$ could not $_{\odot}$ m $_{\odot}$ could not $_{\odot}$ m $_{\odot}$ could not $_{\odot}$ could no





Fig. 4 0 Commenciation against the magnetic moment of Ng and Fe with the experimental data by newires differential (open circle by Stall and Williamon 1955, transles by Colline and Lew 1803, and colls circles

respectively the CPA and CDPA results of magnetic means calculation deposite with the experimental results of Skull and Rilkinson (1965), Gellies Jose and Loude (1962) and Sow and Cellins (1965)

the magnetic magnet releviations are to good accessor with the synaminestal vanuable. The agreement is nexticularly serlier results of Enougawa & Kanamari (1971) It is realistic depoity of states as compared to the starple model end the generalization of the CFA to the CCFA Since the negatio speed to so integrated quantity, it is difficult to extrinate the affect of improvements on the density of states. In COPA we take more than one atom into the consideration. In magnetic minusts calculation therefore. COTE about a would bester results on the searest neighbours of en eton play an important part in determining its magnetic money. This is nexticularly as for \$1.

CONCLUDENCE ESPACION

In this code we fore correct on the application of the American Section 1 and 1 and

Me have taken the input density of states for the coast disease of Ni from the recursion method. This is facilitated by the significant noncommunical developments medically in this area. Empirical and Ext. (1985) have derived

pushelin terminator schemes for the Green function for realization debend density of notice for the best (Mr. in the present case). This gives such better input them in some provious works whose the steeple model we used

This work, where the real round the singletist suppression in Prof. 125 we have also for elimination for the 205 A to a primary the same and elimination for the 205 A to a primary the same and approximation. Our approximate the same and a primary that the primary that the same and a primary that the same and the s

Measure and fareaut (1972) wore that COS is encounted to 0. The point into the desiral of the saluciation. Of the saluciation of the saluciation, when the majority path bend lies halou the Front level (as is the case of RII); the aspectic state of each this shallow show a finonception in the magnitude of the magnitude memors is invested in only Mr. | Southwise of the magnitude memors in invested in only Mr. | Southwise of the magnitude memors in invested in only Mr. | Southwise of the Monte of

is generally suppressed because it is always eccumpated by a change of electrostatic energy. The newtren diffraction apperlment done by Collins and Low (1865) indicate that Te and Co as investibles in \$5 may not appreciably distort the megnetic moments of surroughing Hi steem. Our relegiations indicate that the minority open density on Sirmites are most secreciably affacted on we go from the CFA to the CCFA. The set result on the magnetication is small, and of agr significance only in the low concentration ragins i so the so called 'impurity bond' regime where model celculations certier indirected than CPA and CCPA should differ segnationation at low concentrations calculated by the CIFA seems to be in better agreement with experiment. We obould, bowers, not stress too such on this, so the tight binding noted calculation about fortisets trends rather than really

bernet the tight binding exponenties. For weiling allowthe end' constraint SUC-90 teachogs have have developed. The DOS equations have close recombined to the tight binding method. The disserts a₁ term in the tight binding medicates in registered by the lowerse V-extin. Use off-disperal becomes any in a reshood by the circums containing the containing the containing and the circums containing the containing the containing and the circums.

One has to go beyond the single site approximation

As future weeks in this area, seed was fait to so

Note so if one has to consider the magnetic states of the siley, as ungestic mannet as as now depends on its nearest neighbours. The governing-time of the Augmental Space. CCN, to EEE methods has recently been carried out by Noblesjae (1987).

In cases, where the bests is not constitute as abbeiled behinder we developed the Boshirjae and Daughae (1992) generalized the scalar work by Inginetical (1991) is assembling a possessional of the particular theorem. The superstand properties of the particular theorem. The superstand properties in the control of the control of the particular theorem. The superstand is not control of the particular theorem of will enable to be control of the particular theorem. This was that is progress and will enable to to cloudy or other with conden distribution of standards inspections or distribution of details.

Our calculations are at 700. However, nost apprimental observations are not at 7:00 fo is could be interesting to attack the agencia phase disgress with varying temperatures. This involves the calculation of the Fase court and to such man distribution processing.

Fe heat, on we know, both box and for phases. It could be interesting to study the executivel phase transitions of alloys involves Fe. This could will involve estimation of the pair potential and through this the Jree energy. This work is being taken to by our group.

H13730000

Anderson P.V., (1989) Phys. Rev. 181. 25 Arms S. & Hooloxjan A., (1989) J Phys. Condensed Miccor (in press)

Beyoff G.A. and Schluter H . (1986) J. Phove <u>G18</u>, 4836

Blabco & R.A Mockerjes A., (1974) J Phys. GZ, 2165

Sutley W S. , (1872) Phys. Lett 22, A203

Butlar M H . (1879) Phys. Rev. 25, 4409

Chambranicher S., (1980) Sodiative Transfer (Open, Ser York) Casion E U.A Shareley G.H., (1838) Theory Of Atomic Spectro

(Combusings University Proce)
Collins N.F. Jesso S.V.& Loude R.D. (1942) J. Phys. Soc.
Japan 17 Bill. 19

Japan 17 Brand 19
Change E.W., (1986) Introduction To Approximation Theory
(McGpan-S121, New York)

Chibers 7 5 . (1979) de Jairochettos To Orthogonal Polymetale

(Gordon & Brench, New York) Gray L.J. & Sapins T., (1991) Phys. Rev. 221, 1872

Baydock R. (1972) Ph.D Thomas (Daiverminy Of Combeldge) Baydock Ry Helps V.a Kally M.J. (1972) J. Phys. 25, 2845 Baydock R. (1982) Solid State Physics 35, (doadenic

Preza New York) Beydack S.A Fek C.S.H., (1984) J. Phys. CLL 4783

Maydouk N.A Hex C H H . (1985) J Pays C15, 3235

Songross R., Escanoiri J., (1971) J. Thyu, See. Japan 38, 502 Hangaren B., Escanoiri J., (1978) J. Thye, Sec. James 38, 1649 Southand J., (1973) Proc. Sey. Sec. AZZS, 236 hatform S. Martheum G.S. & Murnier J.K., (1973) Thus.

Rev. 152, 525 Inglesfield J.S., (1981) J Thro- CLA. 3795

Rememors J , (1993) Trop Theor Phys. 22, 295
Region T & Gosp G.J., (1978) Phys. Rev. 214, 1960
Replan T. & Gosp G J , (1977) Phys. Rev. 214, 1980

Kaplan T. A. Gosy L. J., (1972) Phys. Rev. <u>E12</u>, 2880
Komar N. A. Jayanneva K. M., (1905) Phys. Rev. <u>E52</u>, 2846
Exper K. A. Jayanneva A. M., (1905) J. Phys. <u>C12</u>, 1013
Kirkystyck E., Vellohy B. A. Khranevick E., (1972) Phys.

Nav 21, 3260 Gov 0 G.E. A Collins H F., (1663) J. Appl Prov 24, 1105 Hills P. A Estanovararskan E., (1979) Phys. Rev 215, 5591

Hockerjee A . (1973a) J. Phys. 28, 5205 Mankerjee S . (1973b) J. Phys. Ct. 1340

Hookerjee A., (1975e) J. Thys. Ct. 24

Hookerjee A , (1975b) J. Phys. CS, 1974 Hookerjee A , (1975c) J. Phys. CS, 2005

Hocherjee A , (1975) Scorndered Systems (Stadueten Publishing

Goopern Delha)

Secherjon A., (1907) J. Phys. E12, 1511

Sectories A. A Sandhan S . (1889) J Thys. Condessed Matter L

Stiler-Hartmann E., (1873) Solid State Commun. 12, 1889

Sickel B G. A Krummbresl J A., (1871) Phys. Rev. Dg 4357
Ricki M , Maked Y: A Kunstoni N (1974) J. Phys. Soc. Japan

Nag C H.H., (1978) J. Phys. All. 653

Her C.H.H. (1984) Computer Phys. Common 25, 505

Saib D S: & Spicer W.E., (1970) Phys. Rev. E2, 1676; 1694 Stocks G.H., Milliams S.W. & Feelkear J.S., (1871) Phys. Nev. Lett. 24, 253

Seven P . (1997) Phys. Rev. 156, 809

Shall C.S & Filhinson H.E., (1995) Phys. Rev. 22, 394 Taskeds H., (1972) J. Phys. Soc. Amer. 28, 1477 Valleby B., Elekastrick S. & Shrenyeich H., (1985) Phys.

Wateon R E., (1980) Phys. Rev. 118, 1926

Wateon R E., (1980) Phys. Rev. 115, 5038